GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Old Secrt. II-28; New Secrt. II-16—
71,006—28-1-55.

Agr (195) Department.

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
~~Memorandum~~
~~Lodged~~

No. 65291. AH/57-3

Dated 28/9/1955

passed
[illegible]

10

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.
Civil Supplies - Tanjore Boiled Rice 2nd Sort - incorrect fixation of volumetric Contents by the Collector of South Kanara Collection of differential cost - refund - prayed for - Appeal.			

AH (1) 11 14/71

THE UDYAVARA CO-OPERATIVE STORES, LTD., L 504, UDYAVARA.

UDIPI TALUK, SOUTH KANARA.

Per Registered Post/Ack. Due.

Dated 7-7-1955

UDYAVARA CO-OPERATIVE STORES, LTD. No. L. 504. T.Q. SOUTH KANARA. UDYAVARA-POST

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 14 JUL 1955 AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 12 JUL 1955 8588 CHIEF SECRETARIAT

The Chief Secretary,
Government of Madras,
M A D R A S.

C.S. Appal
Revenue Dept
12/7/55

65991

Sir,

Sub:- Civil Supplies - Tanjore Boiled Rice 2nd sort incorrect fixation of Volumatric contents by the Collector of South Kanara collection of differential cost refund - prayed for Appeal

Being highly aggrieved by the orders passed by the Board of Revenue, Madras, in their L.Dis. 13325-A/55 (a) CS. dated 20-4-1955, (District Collector's L.Dis.54/55,R. dated 8-1-1955 on the original application dated 29-12-1954 of the Secretary, Udyavara Co-operative Stores Ltd., Udyavara, Udipi Taluk) we beg that we may be permitted to prefer the following appeal.

2. As in the previous years in 1954 we were the retail dealers for distribution of rice to ration card-holders at Udyawara. The rice supplied to us in 1954 was Tanjore Boiled IInd sort in bags of 2 Imperial maunds at Rs. 16-11-9 per maund.

3 From 1-5-1954 to 15-16-1954 we were ordered to remit an extra cost of 2 seers per bag and thereafter upto 13-11-1954 according to the cost of 1 seer per bag, into the Udipi Sub-treasury although the original pool price and the volumatric contents fixed by the Government did not change. The extra collection made from us as "differential value" over and above the rate and contents fixed by the Government is not correct and we beg to submit that the same be refunded to this co-operative Institution.

4 We beg to submit that the Government as the wholesaler and this Stores as the retailer the supplies ought to have been made to us at actual measurement. But this was not done insnite of our repeated protests. We were simply told that the contents were test-measured at Mangalore by the C.S. staff prior to the stocks sent over to Udipi Godown some 60 miles away by trucks through S.K.C.C.W. Stores, Ltd. There was no guarantee that each and every bag was containing extra 2 seers of rice

from 1-5-1954 to 15-6-1954 and thereafter upto 13-11-1954 extra 1 seer. We were never allowed to measure the contents before taking delivery of. Unless we remitted the extra value no stocks were made available to us for distribution among poor card-holders. This procedure we beg to submit is contrary to all accepted laws of business and we were forced to incur a loss of Rs. 381-9-10 in this Tanjore Boiled IInd rice transaction.

Sir, we request you to see that there has been a difference between the Pool price fixed by the Government and the actual price collected from us at Udini and pray that this appeal may be allowed and that the extra value which we were compelled to pay under protest during the period from 1-5-1954 to 13-11-1954 may be ordered to be refunded to this rural Co-operative Institution.

For which act of kindness we are ever remain grateful to you.

Yours faithfully,

P. Basavayya
SECRETARY.

Copy to the Minister for Co-operation,
Government of Madras, MADRAS.

Agri (A II)

Encl. no 65291 A II/57-1 d. 16/7/55

Referred to Bd of Revenue for urgent remarks.

16/7/55

14/7/55

c/c

16/7/55

Department's Initials.

To
The Bd of Revenue
(with a copy of Encl. to Govt. memo dt. 2/8/55 (Pl-3 above)

Same as on plaint A-4 (1) B

THE UDYAVARA CO-OPERATIVE STORES, LTD., L 504, UDYAVARA.
UDIPI TALUK, SOUTH KANARA.

Dated: 7-7-1955.

Per Regd. Post/Ack. Due.

The Chief Secretary,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

Sub:- Civil Supplies - Tanjore Boiled Rice 2nd sort - incorrect fixation of Volumatric contents by the Collector of South Kanara - collection of differential cost - refund - prayed for - Appeal.

- - - -

Being highly aggrieved by the orders passed by the Board of Revenue, Madras, in their L.Dis. 13325-A/55 CS. dated 20-4-1955, (a) (District Collector's L.Dis.54/55,R. dated 8-1-1955 on the original application dated 29-12-1954 of the Secretary, Udyavara Co-operative Stores Ltd., Udyavara, Udipi Taluk) we beg that we may be permitted to prefer the following appeal.

As in the previous years in 1954 we were the retail dealers for distribution of rice to ration card-holders at Udyawara. The rice supplied to us in 1954 was Tanjore Boiled IInd sort in bags of 2 Imperial maunds at Rs. 16-11-9 per maund.

From 1-5-1954 to 15-16-1954 we were ordered to remit an extra cost of 2 seers per bag and thereafter upto 13-11-1954 according to the cost of 1 seer per bag, into the Udipi Sub-treasury although the original pool price and the volumatric contents fixed by the Government did not change. The extra collection made from us as "differential value" over and above the rate and contents fixed by the Government is not correct and we beg to submit that the same be refunded to this co-operative Institution

We beg to submit that the Government as the wholesaler and this Stores as the retailer the supplies ought to have been made to us at actual measurement. But this was not done inspite of our repeated protests. We were simply told that the contents were test-measured at Mangalore by the C.S. staff prior to the stocks sent over to Udipi Godown some 60 miles away by trucks through S.K.C.C.W. Stores, Ltd. There was no guarantee that each and every bag was containing extra 2 seers of rice

Same as on page 1

from 1-5-1954 to 15-6-1954 and thereafter upto 13-11-1954 extr 1 seer. We were never allowed to measure the contents before taking delivery of. Unless we remitted the extra value no stocks were made available to us for distribution among poor card-holders. This procedure we beg to submit is contrary to all accepted laws of business and we were forced to incur a loss of Rs. 381-9-10 in this Tanjore Boiled IInd rice transaction.

Sir, we request you to see that there has been a difference between the Pool price fixed by the Government and the actual price collected from us at Udipi and pray that this appeal may be allowed and that the extra value which we were compelled to pay under protest during the period from 1-5-1954 to 13-11-1954 may be ordered to be refunded to this rural Co-operative Institution.

For which act of kindness we are ever remain grateful to you.

Yours faithfully,

S. Basavanna
SECRETARY.

Copy to the Minister for Co-operation,
Government of Madras, MADRAS.

I.L.C (es) Dem
Agri. Min
15/7/55 15/7/55

Rev. 064676 ET/55-1
16.7.55

72154

THIRD ASSISTANT TO GOVERNMENT
8-AUG 1955
AGRICULTURE DEPT.

BOARD OF REVENUE(CIVIL SUPPLIES)
Abstract.

Civil Supplies Rice and Paddy - Supply during 1954 - Pool Price - Collection of differential cost - Refund - petition Remarks - submitted.

Bd's Ref. No. 29961 A/55. CS. dated 30 Aug. 1955.

From

Sri M. V. Subrahmanian, I.C.S.,
Commissioner for Land Revenue, Civil Supplies and Settlement.

Sri A. Somasundaram B.A.,
Additional Secretary, Board of Revenue, Civil Supplies,

Read: From the Secretary, Udayavara Co-operative Stores Ltd., Udayavara - Petition dated 7-7-55 and Govt.'s endorsement No. 65291-A.II/55-1 Agriculture dated 16-7-55.

With reference to the Government endorsement cited, the Board submits as follows :-

2. The Secretary, the Udayavara Co-operative Stores Ltd, Udipi, in his petition dated 21-3-55 to the Board complained that the Stores had been asked to remit an extra cost of 2 seers per bag from 1-5-54 to 15-6-54 and thereafter upto 13-11-54 the cost of one seer per bag though the original pool price and the volumetric contents fixed by the Govt. did not change. He requested that the difference between the price fixed by the Govt. and the price collected by the Collector of South Kanara from the Stores might be refunded to the Stores.

3. The Collector of South Kanara who was asked to offer his remarks on the petition of the Udayavara Co-operative Stores stated that the price was fixed adopting the standard volumetric contents per maund of rice as 39 seers and that as the volumetric contents of the grains varied according to the quality, the differential cost was collected from all the retailers after measuring each consignment. He further stated that if the Stores had noticed any real shortage, it should have brought it to the notice of the local Civil Supplies Officials and got the rice after actual weighment then and there, that the belated complaint could not be considered and that the petition might be rejected. In the circumstances reported by the Collector, the Board rejected the petition of the Stores in its L.Dis. 13325 A/55. CS (a) dated 20-4-55. There are no new grounds in the present appeal and it deserves no consideration.

for Additional Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Agriculture Dept.,Madras.

TKS/4.8.
5/8/55

Agri (A II)

Memo no 65291 A II/55-3 d. 28/9/55

Subj: Civil Supplies - Rice and paddy. Supply during 1954 - Pool prices - Collection of differential cost. Request from Udayarvara Taluk Stores, Sulkavara Bunder for refund. Reply rejected.

Ref:- 1. from the Secretary of the Udayarvara Taluk Stores Bhd, Udayarvara, Reply d. 7.7.55

2. from the Bd of Revenue Memo 29961 A/55 (4) d. 3/8/55

M. Visu Mudaliar
28/9/55

pp 1-3 C

p 9 C

The Party is

rejected.

6/9/55

26/9/55

28/9/55

(Affirmed by

Secy

To

The Secretary,

The Vidyanidhi Group Stores Ltd.,

Vidyaranyapura, Bangalore-11.

Sir,

Copy of Bid of Revly,

Madras

CENT.

Syn

Date 195 Despatcher's Initials.

Agr.(A II)

cvo 652-91 A II(SF) Subj:- Civil Supplies - Rice and paddy - Supply during 1954 - Pool price - Collection of differential cost - Request from Udayarara Co-op. Stores (South Kanara Dt.) for refund -

Pp 1-3 cf Ref:- 1. From the Secretary, Udayarara Co-op. Stores Ltd. Patty d. 7/7/55

p 9 cf 2. From the Bd of Revenue Lr. 29961 A/55-4 d. 3-8-55

Please see the Patty from the Secretary Udayarara Co-op. Stores, Udayarara, South Kanara Dt., on pp 1-3 cf and the Bd's remarks on p 9 cf.

2. The Case deals with the Petition of the Secretary of the Udayarara Co-op. Stores, Udayarara, South Kanara Dt., against the orders of the Bd of Revenue, which had rejected the claim of the Stores for the refund of the differential Cost paid by it due to the revision of the Volumetric Contracts by the Govt of South Kanara for the Tanjore boiled rice II and sent in 1954.

2. The Secretary, Udayarara Co-op. Stores Complained to the Bd (para 2 of p 9 cf) that the Stores had been asked to remit an extra Cost of 2 seers per bag from 1/5/54 to 15-6-54 and thereafter upto 13/11/54 one seer per bag through the

original pool price and Volumetric Contents fixed by the Govt did not change. He requested that the difference between the price fixed by the Govt and those of the price collected by the Cmte of South Canara from the Stores might be refunded to the Stores.

The Cmte of South Canara, who was consulted by the Bd, reported that the price was fixed adopting the standard Volumetric Contents per ~~=~~ para 3 of ~~and~~ of rule 39 stores and that of the Volumetric Contents of the grains Varied according to Quality, the differential cost was collected from all the retailers after measuring each consignment. He further stated that if the Stores had noticed any real shortage, it should have been brought to the notice of the local C.S. Officials and (in which case) got the rice after actual weighment then and there, that the belated Complaint could not be considered and that the petn might be rejected. On the Cmte, the Bd rejected the petn. The Govt of the Stores has now come up before the Govt with the same request. The Bd has reported that no new grounds have been urged in the petn to the Govt and that it deserves no to Consider afresh. The orders whether the Bd's recommendation will be accepted.

3. DLC and Fin may see.

or remarks.

11/8/55

The Bd's recommendation may be accepted

14/8/55

-5-

SECTION ASSISTANT SECRETARY
22 AUG 1955
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES, LABOUR AND CO-OPERATION

79183 cpm

652 91 Agri

a. 19/8

I.c.(C) dept.

Please see Agri note on pp 1-2 above. If in addition the proposal at 'A' on p2 above may be accepted & files orders are passed the file may be passed on to F.in.

28/8/55 — 30/8/55 — 30/8/55 — 30/8/55

I&C No. 79/83 Cts/ST-1 — 1.9.55

Fin. No. 6845/Accts/55-1 — 7.9.55

Fin

Finance (Accts)

The note of Agri. on pp. 1-2 above may be perused.

The proposal at A on p.2 above may be ~~accepted~~ agreed to.

6.9.55 — 6/9/55 — 6.9.55 Agri

Agri (A.II

Note for Circulation.

The case deals with the petition of the

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1 SEP 1955
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

SECOND ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 - SEP 1955
AGRICULTURE DEPT.

8105

Secretary of the Udyavara Cooperative Stores, Udyavara, South Kanara District, against the orders of the Board of Revenue (C.S.), which had rejected the claim of the Stores for the refund of the differential cost paid by it due to the revision of the volumetric contents by the Collector of South Kanara for the Tanjore boiled rice-II sort in 1954.

2. The Secretary, Udyavara Cooperative Stores, complained to the Board that the Stores had been asked to remit an extra cost of 2 seers per bag from 1-5-54 to 15-6-54 and thereafter upto 13-11-54 the cost of one seer per bag, though the original pool price and volumetric contents fixed by the Government did not change. He requested that the difference between the price fixed by the Govt. and the price collected by the Collector of South Kanara, from the Stores might be refunded to the Stores. The Collector of South Kanara, who was consulted by the Board, reported that the price was fixed adopting the standard volumetric contents per maund of rice as 39 seers and that, as the volumetric contents of the grains varied according to the quality, the differential cost was collected from all the retailers after measuring each consignment. He further stated that, if the Stores had noticed any real shortage, it should have been brought to the notice of the local Civil Supplies officials and it should have got the rice after actual weighment then and there, that the belated complaint could not be considered and that the petition might be rejected. In the circumstances, the Board rejected the petition.

The Secretary of the Stores has now come up to the Government with the same request. The Board has reported that no new grounds have been urged in the petition to the Government and that it deserves no consideration. It is proposed to accept the Board's recommendation & reject the petn. I.L.C. and Finance agree.

GA-652-91 A-II)55

1901

3. The case may be circulated to M (A) and M(H) for orders whether A on p4 above will be approved.

Sgn
10.9.55
A
10/9/55

S Venkata Raman
11.9.55

V S Ambedkar
13/9/55

M (A) M. Bhaktavatsalam
13 9 55

M (H) 23/9

Agr (A-II)

Please see my orders in On above.

2. A draft memo is submitted below for approval.

Sgn
26/9/55
A
26/9/55

Sd
28/9/55

(Issued as Memo no 652 91 A-II)55-3 d. 28/9/55

SECRETARY
14 SEP 1955
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY
24 SEP 1955
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

A-II (1)
A
24/9/55
5-30 PM